

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

"Made available under NASA sponsorship
in the interest of early and wide dis-
semination of Earth Resources Survey
Program information and without limiting
the use made thereof."

E83-10298

CR-170328



SECRETARIA DE PLANEJAMENTO DA PRESIDÊNCIA DA REPÚBLICA

CONSELHO NACIONAL DE DESENVOLVIMENTO CIENTÍFICO E TECNOLÓGICO

(E83-10298) ATMOSPHERIC CORRECTION ANALYSIS
ON LANDSAT DATA OVER THE AMAZON REGION
(Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José)
7 p HC A02/MF A01

N83-25001

CSCI 04A

Unclass

G3/43 0029E

RECEIVED BY
NASA STI FACILITY
DATE: 5/16/83
DCAF NO. 002949
PROCESSED BY
☒ NASA STI FACILITY
☒ ESA - SDS ☐ AIAA



INSTITUTO DE PESQUISAS ESPACIAIS

1. Publication Nº INPE-2696-PRE/293	2. Version	3. Date April, 1983	5. Distribution <input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted
4. Origin DIN	Program INFOR		
6. Key words - selected by the author(s) ATMOSPHERIC CORRECTION LANDSAT DATA AMAZON REGION			
7. U.D.C.: 621.376.5:528.711.7			
8. Title ATMOSPHERIC CORRECTION ANALYSIS ON LANDSAT DATA OVER THE AMAZON REGION		10. Nº of pages: 6 11. Last page: 5 12. Revised by Antonio Tebaldi Tardin Antonio Tebaldi Tardin	
9. Authorship Luiz Alberto Vieira Dias João Roberto dos Santos Antonio Roberto Formaggio		13. Authorized by Nelson de Jesus Parada Director	
Responsible author <i>By Alt Vieira Jr</i>			
14. Abstract/Notes <p>The Amazon Region natural resources are studied in two ways and compared. Initially, a LANDSAT scene and its attributes are selected, and a maximum likelihood algorithm classification is made. The next step is the atmospheric correction of the scene, taken into account Amazonian peculiarities (checked with ground truth) of the same area, and the subsequent classification. Finally a comparison, which shows that the classification improves with the atmospherically corrected images, is made.</p> <p>Original photography may be purchased from EOS Data Center Sioux Falls, SD 57193</p> <p>ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY</p>			
15. Remarks Accepted for publication on the Proceedings of the Symposium on Machine Processing of Remotely Sensed Data, to be held in Purdue University on June 21-23, 1983.			

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

ABSTRACT

The Amazon Region natural resources are studied in two ways and compared. Initially, a LANDSAT scene and its attributes are selected, and a maximum likelihood algorithm classification is made. The next step is the atmospheric correction of the scene, taking into account Amazonian peculiarities (checked with ground truth) of the same area, and the subsequent classification. Finally a comparison, which shows that the classification improves with the atmospherically corrected images, is made.

I - INTRODUCTION

In order to better use orbital remote sensing techniques for natural resources studies, it is desirable to minimize the nontarget-related attributes influence, as that of atmospheric absorption and scattering.

Therefore, the main objective of this paper is to analyse the atmospheric influence on LANDSAT imagery for the Amazon region data.

The selected area was centered around the city of Manaus (Capital of Amazonas State, Brazil), containing the Manaus International Airport (Eduardo Gomes Airport), from which radiosonde data are available.

The results will be used at INPE (Institute for Space Research, Brazil) and other Brazilian institutions that utilize remotely sensed LANDSAT data for Amazon Region studies.

II - STUDY AREA

The selected study area is the scene sub-image 248.62 (WRS). The land area is approximately 900 km², located between 59° 50' W - 60° 00' W and 03° 00' S - 03° 10' S. Within the selected area the city of MANAUS, its INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, jungle plantations, and

rivers with different types of water, which makes it a good choice, are located. The proximity of MANAUS enable one of the authors to collect data in site for use as ground truth.

The LANDSAT material used were CCT's in four channels, for September 28th, 1981, and the machine processing performed on INPE's IMAGE-100 System, assembled by GENERAL ELECTRIC CO.

III - METHODOLOGY

A procedure developed at INPE for atmospheric correction¹ was used. Its steps are as follows: (i) the atmospheric transmittance is determined by a modified LOWTRAN 4² computer program (originally developed by the Air Force Geophysical Laboratory, AFGL, USA). This program was modified for interactive remote video terminal use, with optional graphical output³. The atmospheric model, inferred from radiosonde data, was acquired at Eduardo Gomes Airport (Manaus, AM, Brazil), at the same date and approximately at the same time of the satellite pass; (ii) using the transmittance, the solar zenith angle, and the estimated values for the average ground albedo and aerosol optical depth, the LANDSAT image is corrected pixel by pixel by the I-100 System.

In order to analyse quantitatively the atmospheric correction effect, it was necessary to obtain true grey level range for the selected classes identified in the scene.

The procedure, with and without atmospheric correction, for obtaining the spectral classes was the following: (i) noise removal, (ii) radiometric correction to minimize stripping, (iii) main area selection at 1:100,000 scale, (iv) training areas selection (obtained by field trip ground truth); (v) spectral parameters acquisition through the MAXVER⁴ (maximum likelihood) algorithm.

Other quantitative parameters were measured in order to compare the data with and without atmospheric correction. Initially, the JM distance (Jeffreys Matusita Distance) was computed to determine the separability among the spectral classes for one or a combination of channels. The JM distance⁵ is given by the formula:

$$JM = 2 (1 - e^{-\alpha}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{8} (U_1 - U_2) c^{-1} (U_1 - U_2) + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{\det c}{\sqrt{\det c_1 \det c_2}} \right] \quad (2)$$

and

U_i = averages of class i matrix 0 , $i = 1, 2$;

$()^T$ = transposed matrix;

$()^{-1}$ = inverse matrix

$$c = \frac{1}{2} [c_1 + c_2];$$

c_i = covariance matrix class i , $i = 1, 2$;

$\det c = c_i$ determinant, $i = 1, 2$.

The applicability of these measurements for class separation, mainly for vegetation covered areas, was shown by Aoki and Santos⁶.

Another useful parameter is the ratio between the average grey levels with and without atmospheric correction, for each class, for each MSS channel. This ratio is expressed by:

$$RA(i, \beta) = \frac{C'(i, \beta)}{C(i, \beta)}, \quad (3)$$

being $C'(i, \beta) > C(i, \beta)$,

where $C'(i, \beta)$ = average gray level for class i , channel β , with atmospheric correction.

$C(i, \beta)$ = average gray level for class i , channel β , without atmospheric correction.

Next, the product PA , composed of the contrast index between two classes multiplied by the average value of these classes is studied:

$$PA(i, j, \beta) = \frac{C(i, \beta)}{C(j, \beta)} \times \frac{C(i, \beta) + C(j, \beta)}{2} \quad (4)$$

for $i > j$,

being $C(i, \beta)/C(j, \beta)$ the contrast index, and $(C(i, \beta) + C(j, \beta))/2$ the average, where $C(i, \beta)$ = average gray level value for class i (or j), without atmospheric correction; and β is LANDSAT MSS channel.

The product PA' is similarly obtained for atmospheric corrected values.

Following, the ratio $RB(i, j, \beta)$ between PA' and PA for $PA' > PA$ is:

$$RB(i, j, \beta) = PA'(i, j, \beta)/PA(i, j, \beta). \quad (5)$$

Finally, the standard deviation for each class, for each MSS channel, with and without atmospheric correction, taking into account the size of the training area, is analysed.

IV - RESULTS

From radiosonde data, assuming a visibility of 20 km, the atmospheric transmission was computed through the use of a LOWTRAN 4²:

$$T_4 = 0.6905,$$

$$T_5 = 0.7467,$$

$$T_6 = 0.7343,$$

$$T_7 = 0.7646.$$

The zenith angle (50°), aerosol optical depth assumed (0.12), and estimated albedo (0.20) are the other input parameters for the atmospheric correction program¹. A composition of channels 4, 5 and 7, atmospherically corrected is shown in Figure 1.

It is noted on the picture that the Negro River water and the Solimões River (Amazonas River) water are strikingly different. The city of Manaus is in the middle of the picture. This picture is a sub-image of orbit 248.62 (WRS), with a 1:100.000 scale on the I-100 video.



Figure 1 - Area under study with atmospheric correction.

In the selected scene, six classes were considered: (1) dark water, (2) muddy water, (3) jungle (tropical rain forest), (4) secondary forest, (5) urban areas (city of Manaus and environs), and (6) agricultural areas. The classes spectral parameters with and without atmospheric correction, obtained by the MAXVER algorithm, are presented on Table 1.

The values of RA for each class and channel are presented on Table 2.

Table 3 presents RB for each pair of classes, while Table 4 presents the standard deviation as computed by MAXVER.

V - DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

From Table 1, it is observed that, depending on the target, the correction in general increased the average grey levels. For example for class 1, channel 7, the difference was 118%, while for class 4, channel 4, the difference was only 25%. The value of the correction is highly dependent on the target.

It is observed from Table 2 that class 1 was more affected by the correction, followed by class 2, while on the other classes the effect was not so prominent.

Table 3 shows that the RB value did not change very much for pairs of classes on the visible and near infrared (channels 4,5,6), while for the infrared channel 7 it varied from 1.00 to 1.66.

The standard deviation increase with the atmospheric correction; thus, it is supposed that a class has a larger set after correction, which should improve the classification. It should be noted that class 3 (jungle) presented a much larger standard deviation than the other classes, while class 4 (secondary forest) presented a small standard deviation. This result is still under study.

In addition to these quantitative results, it was observed that after an atmospheric correction is performed there is a noticeable increase in the image visual quality, with sharper natural ground target contours. Finally, the above results are preliminary, and many more tests and field trips are needed to achieve a better understanding of the atmospheric effect on LANDSAT imagery over the Amazon Region.

AUTHORS BIOGRAPHICAL DATA

Luiz Alberto Vieira Dias - He was born in Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, on July 13th, 1942. He holds a B.S. from Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (1966), and an M.S. and Ph.D. on Space Physics and Astronomy from RICE UNIVERSITY, Houston, Texas (1971, 1973). He worked at the Arecibo Observatory while at Rice, and presently is a Professor of Computer Science at INPE, Brazil.

João Roberto dos Santos - He was born in Santos, SP, Brazil, on August 5th, 1952. He is a B.S. in Forest Engineering by Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (1974). Since 1975 he has been at INPE, Brazil, where he obtained a M.S. in Remote Sensing (1979). Presently he works at INPE, Satellite Data Applications Department, where he is Assistant Professor of Remote Sensing. His present research is into natural resources studies, specially forestry.

Antonio Roberto Formaggio - Born in Piracicaba, SP, Brazil, in January 15th, 1953, he is a B.S. in Agronomy by the University of São Paulo, SP (1978). Since 1978 he has worked at INPE, Brazil, where he is a Research Assistant while finishing his M.S. thesis. Presently he is studying soil mapping, using Remote Sensing techniques, and interrelation between spectral reflectances and physical-chemical properties of soils. His interests include digital automatic image processing.

VI - REFERENCES

1. DIAS, L.A.V.; VIJAYKUMAR, N.L.; COSTA PEREIRA, A.E. *An interactive model for atmospheric correction in satellite images*. Accepted for publication on the Proceedings of the 17th ERIM, Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 1983.

2. SELBY, J.; KNEIZYS, F.; CHETWYND, JR., J.; Mc CLATCHEY, R. *Atmospheric transmittance/radiance: computer code LOWTRAN 4*. Cambridge, AFGL-TR, Feb., 1978. (AFGL-TR-78-0053).
3. VIJAYKUMAR, N.L.; DIAS, L.A.V. Procedimento interativo para o cálculo da transmitância atmosférica. *Simpósio Brasileiro de Sensoriamento Remoto*, 2., Brasília, 10-14 mai, 1982.
4. VELASCO, F.R.D.; PRADO, L.O.C.; SOUZA, R.C.M. *Sistema MAXVER: manual do usuário*. São José dos Campos, INPE, jul., 1978. (INPE-1315-NTI/110).
5. SWAIN, P.H.; KING, R.C. *Two effective feature selection criteria for multispectral remote sensing*. Lafayette, IN., Purdue University Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing, 1973. (LARS Information Note, 042673).
6. AOKI, H.; SANTOS, J.R. *Estudo da vegetação de cerrado na área do Distrito Federal, a partir de dados orbitais*. Tese de Mestrado em Sensoriamento Remoto. São José dos Campos, INPE, 1980. (INPE-1730-TDL/026).

Table 1 - Spectral parameters obtained by MAXVER

MSS CHANNEL CLASS	W/O CORR.				W/ CORR.				# SAMPLES/ CLASS	# PIXELS/ CLASS
	4	5	6	7	4	5	6	7		
1	17.40	18.00	10.36	5.07	22.02	22.40	15.24	11.08	10	360
2	36.19	48.57	26.64	9.23	44.23	57.84	36.72	17.10	10	360
3	63.61	93.54	95.49	76.70	76.23	110.32	127.19	111.66	10	360
4	20.03	21.01	63.68	74.55	25.13	25.96	85.40	108.51	10	360
5	31.82	44.69	70.46	75.21	38.98	53.34	95.19	109.36	4	96
6	23.54	25.01	83.33	96.03	29.23	30.33	111.22	138.86	4	144

Table 2 - RA

MSS CHANNEL CLASS	4	5	6	7
1	1.26	1.24	1.47	2.18
2	1.22	1.19	1.38	1.85
3	1.20	1.18	1.33	1.46
4	1.25	1.24	1.34	1.46
5	1.22	1.19	1.35	1.45
6	1.24	1.21	1.33	1.45

1. The first of second and speeded-up pages

Table 3 - RB

MSS CHANNEL PAIR OF CLASSES	4	5	6	7
1 - 2	1.26	1.16	1.32	1.66
1 - 3	1.15	1.13	1.22	1.00
1 - 4	1.26	1.23	1.24	1.00
1 - 5	1.20	1.16	1.26	1.00
1 - 6	1.23	1.19	1.23	1.02
2 - 3	1.18	1.17	1.30	1.18
2 - 4	1.20	1.16	1.32	1.18
2 - 5	1.22	1.18	1.33	1.18
2 - 6	1.21	1.18	1.30	1.16
3 - 4	1.15	1.14	1.32	1.46
3 - 5	1.19	1.17	1.32	1.46
3 - 6	1.17	1.15	1.34	1.44
4 - 5	1.21	1.16	1.35	1.45
4 - 6	1.24	1.20	1.33	1.44
5 - 6	1.21	1.18	1.33	1.44

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

Table 4 - Standard deviation

MSS CHANNEL CLASS	W/O CORR.				W/ CORR.			
	4	5	6	7	4	5	6	7
1	1.80	2.04	2.57	2.26	2.21	2.46	3.46	3.14
2	2.05	2.17	2.31	1.95	2.36	2.52	3.17	2.87
3	20.38	38.28	35.02	22.59	23.93	44.61	46.02	31.74
4	1.75	1.87	4.84	5.42	2.02	2.07	6.43	7.58
5	2.83	4.30	3.96	4.21	3.46	5.00	9.10	5.96
6	2.71	2.89	5.10	5.62	3.20	3.40	6.77	7.89